

Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

IP International Journal of Comprehensive and Advanced Pharmacology

Journal homepage: <https://www.ijcap.in/>

Original Research Article

Safety and efficacy of duloxetine versus gabapentin in painful diabetic polyneuropathy

Tamilsetti Vidya Sagar¹, Byndoor Yatish^{1b,2,*}¹Dept. of Pharmacology, GSL Medical College, Andhra Pradesh, India²Dept. of Pharmacology, Apollo Medical College, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13-09-2022

Accepted 10-11-2022

Available online 13-01-2023

Keywords:

Numerical pain rating scale (NPRS)

Clinical Global Impression Change score (CGIC)

Daily sleep interference score (DSIS)

Duloxetine and Gabapentin

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate safety and efficacy of Gabapentin and Duloxetine in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy.**Materials and Methods:** This is a prospective randomized double blinded parallel group study done for a period of 12 weeks. Total of 60 patients were enrolled and randomly allocated to two groups with 30 patients each, group A received Duloxetine 30 mg twice daily and group B received Gabapentin 300mg twice daily and followed every 2 weeks. Patients of age 35 to 60 years with painful diabetic peripheral polyneuropathy are included in the study. Primary objective is improvement in pain assessed by NPRS; Secondary objective is improvement in sleep and clinical condition of the patient, assessed by Sleep Interference Score and Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC). Assessment was done at beginning and at four, eight and twelve weeks. Data was analysed using SPSS 12.0 version.**Results:** Numerical pain rating scores and daily sleep interference scores were reduced significantly with course of treatment within both groups ($p = <0.05$ in both groups), but there was no significant difference observed between two groups at baseline, 4th week, 8th week and 12th week. There is significant reduction in CGIC severity scores with course of treatment within both groups ($p = <0.05$ in both groups), but there was no significant difference observed between groups at baseline and at end of treatment. Common adverse events seen are nausea, dry mouth, dizziness, somnolence and constipation in both groups. There is high incidence of nausea and dry mouth with Duloxetine when compared to Gabapentin.**Conclusion:** Monotherapy with either Duloxetine or Gabapentin was equally effective at 12 weeks treatment with minor side effects. In addition, Gabapentin showed fewer side effects. It can be concluded that for preventing side effects, Gabapentin can be used. Further large head to- head comparator and combination trials are required.This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Diabetes has reached epidemic proportions worldwide, with International Diabetes Federation estimating prevalence of 425 million people worldwide in 2017, which will rise to 628 million by 2045.¹ Earliest presenting and most prevalent complication of diabetes is diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) and it is primary cause of diabetic

foot disease, including ulceration and non-traumatic amputations.² Up to one-third of patients with DPN suffer with neuropathic pain (painful diabetic polyneuropathy, pDPN)^{3–5} This condition causes a series of unpleasant symptoms, which often results in sleep disturbance, poor quality of life, depression, and unemployment.^{6–9}

In Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT), prevalence of DPN in conventional treatment arm was ~ 20%, whilst in intensive treatment arm it was 10%

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dr.yati1988@gmail.com (B. Yatish).

after 5 years, in those with type 1 diabetes (T1D) who were non-neuropathic at baseline.¹⁰ Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study showed that after approximately 26 years of diabetes, DPN was present in 25% and 35% of patients in the intensive and conventional treatment arms, respectively.¹¹ The EURODIAB IDDM study showed similar prevalence rates (28% DPN at baseline) with risk factors including age, duration of diabetes, HbA1c and elevated triglycerides.¹² In SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study,¹³ in those of an age of 20 years or less with a duration of diabetes of greater than 5 years, the prevalence was 7% in patients with T1D and 22% in T2D.¹⁴

There is paucity of data on the prevalence of pDPN. The reported prevalence has varied from 8 to 26%, depending on the diagnostic criteria and population studied.¹⁵ Prevalence of pDPN in USA is estimated at 20–24% among patients with peripheral neuropathy.¹⁶ There are data to suggest that mortality is higher in patients with severe chronic pain.¹⁷ In a population-based study, prevalence of painful DPN was estimated at 16%; however, of these, 12.5% had never reported symptoms to their doctor and 39% had never received treatment for their pain.¹⁸

Gabapentin was first $\alpha 2\text{-}\delta$ ligand to receive approval for treatment of neuropathic pain. Its half-life is 6–8 h, consequently drug is typically administered three times daily.¹⁹ Dosing regimen with titration up to 1800 mg and maximum upper dose of 3600 mg is recommended in painful diabetic polyneuropathy.²⁰

Duloxetine is one of most widely studied, prescribed and recommended agents for painful diabetic polyneuropathy. It relieves neuropathic pain through inhibition of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake, which enhances descending inhibition of pain.^{21–23} Duloxetine is rapidly absorbed, reaching maximal plasma concentrations approximately 6 h after administration, reaching steady state in bloodstream within 3 days.²²

Efficacy and safety of Gabapentin and Duloxetine in painful diabetic polyneuropathy management have been studied in many clinical trials, and several studies tried to assess use of these drugs in real-world practice.^{24,25} Since relationship between pain and sleep may be bidirectional, some researchers suggest that pain management should also include measures to improve sleep.^{26,27} In this study, we evaluated improvement in sleep after giving medications.

To the best of our knowledge, no comparative studies have been carried out between Gabapentin and Duloxetine in painful diabetic neuropathy in South Indian population. Therefore, this study was aimed to evaluate efficacy and safety of Gabapentin and Duloxetine in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy in a tertiary care centre in south India.

2. Materials and Methods

This is a prospective randomized double blinded parallel group study done in a tertiary care hospital, South India. Patients attending medical out-patient of General Medicine department were selected for this study and this study was done for a period of 12 weeks from March 2022 to May 2022. Total of 60 patients were enrolled in study as per selection criteria. They were randomly allocated to two groups with 30 patients each, group A received Duloxetine 30 mg twice daily and group B received Gabapentin 300mg twice daily and followed every 2 weeks.

Patients of age 35 to 60 years with painful diabetic peripheral polyneuropathy from 1 month to 5 years and based on history and clinical examination, HbA1c lower than 10, time frame of diabetes diagnosis was between 1 to 15 years and patients with pain on Numerical pain rating scale (NPRS) of at least 4 are included in the study. Patients having liver or kidney impairment, amputation in their lower limb, symptoms of cognitive impairment, pregnant or lactating, alcohol abusers or drug addicts are excluded from the study.

Medications were first made similar to each other by a doctor and then sufficient amounts were packed into packets A and B. Before commencement of study, side effects of medications were explained to patients and each patient randomly received one of two medications used in the study.

Primary objective is improvement in pain as assessed by NPRS; Secondary objective is improvement in sleep and clinical condition of the patient, assessed by Sleep Interference Score and Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC). Though patients were followed every two weeks for any side effects, assessment was done at beginning and at four, eight and twelve weeks, comparison was both intragroup and intergroup, for evaluation of effectiveness of two medications.

NPRS is segmented numeric version of visual analogue scale (VAS) in which respondent selects whole number (0–10 integers) that best reflects intensity of pain.^{28,29} Common format is horizontal bar or line. Similar to VAS, NPRS is anchored by terms describing pain severity extremes. 11-point numeric scale ranges from '0' representing one pain extreme (e.g. no pain) to '10' representing the other pain extreme (e.g. pain as bad as you can imagine)

Daily sleep interference scale (DSIS) has 11-point response scale that asks patients to select number that best describes how much your pain has interfered with your sleep during past 24 hours. Response options range from 0 (Did not interfere with sleep) to 10 (Completely interfered with sleep-unable to sleep due to pain). DSIS is designed to be used in patient daily diary that patients fill out upon awakening each morning.³⁰

CGIC^{31,32} assesses any changes in patient's clinical condition and is graded on a seven-point scale. Each point

indicates a specific clinical condition and is defined as follows: 1 shows significant improvement; 2 shows major improvement; 3 shows minimal improvement; 4 shows no change; 5 shows minimal worsening; 6 shows major worsening; and 7 shows significant worsening of clinical condition.

Ethical approval was taken from Institutional Ethical Committee. Informed written consent was taken from each of the participants. They were assured to keep their data confidential and they had full right to withdraw themselves from study at any moment. Compliance regarding medication consumption is assessed by counting used tablet strips returned by patient and patient diary. All adverse events reported by patients during any stage of trial were recorded and assessed for seriousness and relation to study drug. Data was analysed using SPSS 12.0 version. Appropriate statistical methods were used based on the data. A probability value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Table 1: Showing baseline mean values of demographic and clinical characteristics of patients included in the study

Characteristic	Group A (Duloxetine)	Group B (Gabapentin)	p value
Age	50.21±5.66	51.32±5.69	>0.05
Male Female	14 16	16 14	>0.05
HbA1c	8.04±1.66	8.03.7±1.67	>0.05
Duration of diabetes in years	7.76±4.26	7.17±3.96	>0.05
Duration of painful diabetic neuropathy in years	3.21±0.66	3.17±1.06	>0.05
Baseline NPRS	6.82±1.16	6.02±0.66	>0.05
Baseline Sleep interference score	7.17±1.63	7.22±1.56	>0.05
CGIC	3.71±0.91	3.61±0.92	>0.05

4. Discussion

Neuropathic pain is often associated with diabetic peripheral neuropathy and is defined as pain caused by primary lesion or dysfunction in the nervous system. Major goal of pharmacologic treatment is to control pain. Simple analgesic may provide partial, short-term relief, but more specifically targeted drugs are required for sustained control of pain of neuropathic origin.³³

Main treatment for all painful diabetic polyneuropathy patients is maintaining glucose concentrations within the normal range.³⁴ Consensus-based treatment guidelines recommended both Duloxetine and gabapentin for

Table 2: Showing comparison of NPRS between two groups at 4th, 8th and 12 weeks

Numerical pain rating scale	Group A (Duloxetine)	Group B (Gabapentin)	p value
At beginning	6.82±1.16	6.02±0.66	>0.05
At 4 th week	2.61±1.16	2.59±1.22	>0.05
At 8 th week	1.89±1.06	1.97±1.02	>0.05
At 12 th week	1.7±0.96	1.69±1.12	>0.05

Numerical pain rating scores (NPRS) were reduced significantly with course of treatment within both groups ($p < 0.05$ in both groups), but there was no significant difference observed between the groups at baseline, 4th week, 8th week and at 12th week.

Table 3: Showing comparison of daily sleep interference scale between two groups at 4th, 8th and 12 weeks

Daily sleep interference scale	Group A (Duloxetine)	Group B (Gabapentin)	p value
At beginning	7.17±1.63	7.22±1.56	>0.05
At 4 th week	5.86±1.52	5.64±1.74	>0.05
At 8 th week	4.62±1.88	4.82±1.01	>0.05
At 12 th week	3.63±1.32	3.68±1.62	>0.05

Sleep interference scores were reduced significantly with course of treatment within both groups ($p < 0.05$ in both groups), but there was no significant difference observed between the groups at baseline, 4th week, 8th week and at 12th week.

Table 4: Showing comparison of clinical global impression of change between two groups at 4th, 8th and 12 weeks

CGIC	Group A (Duloxetine)	Group B (Gabapentin)	p value
At beginning	3.71±0.91	3.61±0.92	>0.05
At 4 th week	2.21±0.74	2.22±0.92	>0.05
At 8 th week	1.91±0.68	1.87±0.67	>0.05
At 12 th week	1.73±0.57	1.73±0.64	>0.05

CGIC severity scores were reduced significantly with course of treatment within both groups ($p < 0.05$ in both groups), but there was no significant difference observed between the groups at baseline, 4th week, 8th week and at 12th week.

managing painful diabetic polyneuropathy patients as first-line medications.³⁵

Limited number of studies has directly (head to head) compared effectiveness of these two medications.³⁶ Some studies have found duloxetine to have similar or inferior efficacy to pregabalin or gabapentin.³⁷

In this study, there was significant reduction in mean Numeric pain rating Scale (NRS) score and daily sleep interference score at the end of the study. However, there was no significant difference observed between study

Table 5: Showing distribution of adverse events in both groups

Adverse event	Group A (Duloxetine)	Group B (Gabapentin)
Somnolence	4	4
Constipation	1	1
Nausea	4	2
Vomiting	0	0
Weight gain	0	0
Dry mouth	2	1
Dizziness	2	2

Common adverse events seen are nausea, dry mouth, dizziness, somnolence and constipation in both groups. There is high incidence of nausea with dry mouth with Duloxetine when compared to Gabapentin.

groups in NRS score, daily sleep interference score and CGIC severity score at 4th week, 8th week, and 12th week of treatment. Study of Devi et al. also reported similar observation at end of study.³⁶ Similar significant improvement in Gabapentin and Duloxetine taking patients with painful diabetic polyneuropathy was reported in several studies like Quilicis et al.³³ Goldstein et al.,³⁸ Baron et al.,³⁹ and Tolle et al.⁸

Study done by Backonja et al.⁴⁰ compared gabapentin with placebo, gabapentin-treated patients had lower pain scores with improvements in quality of life, mood and sleep. Ko et al.⁴¹ in terms of VAS score, suggested that duloxetine compared to gabapentin had similar efficacy in alleviating diabetic peripheral neuralgia. Our study showed similar and comparable reduction in pain measured by NPRS scale between two groups. Significant improvement was observed in Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC) severity score in both Duloxetine and Gabapentin groups when compared between start and end of the study. Two studies⁴² recorded clinical global impression of change at 8 weeks after treatment, showed slightly better clinical global impression of change with Gabapentin when compared with Duloxetine. HbA1c levels did not change significantly during 12 weeks of study and average HbA1c level was 8% or less across treatment groups. No significant differences were found in fasting glucose levels between duloxetine group and gabapentin group. Rates of adverse events in placebo-controlled RCTs are greater for duloxetine than placebo. Most common is nausea with dry mouth, dizziness, somnolence, fatigue, insomnia, constipation, reduced appetite and sweating.⁴³ Tanenberg et al.^{14,25} reported higher adverse effects ($p = 0.04$) in Duloxetine group than Gabapentin group. In order to reduce nausea patients can be advised to take duloxetine with or after food.

Medication compliance was good in both groups; similar medication compliance for both Gabapentin and Duloxetine has been reported in previous studies.³³

5. Conclusion

Monotherapy with either Duloxetine or Gabapentin was equally effective at 12 weeks treatment in improving

NPRS, Sleep Interference Score, and CGIC in patients who had painful diabetic polyneuropathy and both are well tolerated with minor side effects. In addition, Gabapentin showed fewer side effects. It can be concluded that for preventing side effects, Gabapentin can be used. Further well-conducted, large head-to-head comparator trials and combination trials are urgently required.

6. Limitations

Limited number of population and limited duration of treatment (only 12 weeks). Outcome measures like sleep interference score, and clinical global impression of change can only be used as secondary ones to supplement and explain results. Need for large-sample, multicenter studies to further improve analysis results.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Cho NH. Q&A: Five questions on the 2015 IDF Diabetes Atlas. *Int Diab Fed.* 2017;115:157–9. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2016.04.048.
2. Vinik AI, Nevoret ML, Casellini C, Parson H. Diabetic neuropathy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am.* 2013;42(4):747–87.
3. Davies M, Brophy S, Williams R, Taylor A. The prevalence, severity, and impact of painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2006;29(7):1518–40.
4. Veves A, Backonja M, Malik RA. Painful diabetic neuropathy: epidemiology, natural history, early diagnosis, and treatment options. *Pain Med.* 2008;9(6):660–74.
5. Abbott CA, Malik RA, Van Ross E, Kulkarni J, Boulton AJ. Prevalence and characteristics of painful diabetic neuropathy in a large community-based diabetic population in the U.K. *Diab Care.* 2011;34(10):2220–4.
6. Zelman DC, Brandenburg NA, Gore M. Sleep impairment in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Clin J Pain.* 2006;22(8):681–6.
7. Gore M, Brandenburg NA, Dukes E, Hoffman DL, Tai KS, Stacey B. Pain severity in diabetic peripheral neuropathy is associated with patient functioning, symptom levels of anxiety and depression, and sleep. *J Pain Symptom Manag.* 2005;30(4):374–85.
8. Tolle T, Xu X, Sadosky AB. Painful diabetic neuropathy: a cross-sectional survey of health state impairment and treatment patterns. *J Diab Complic.* 2006;20(1):26–33.
9. Gore M, Brandenburg NA, Hoffman DL, Tai KS, Stacey B. Burden of illness in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: the patients' perspectives. *J Pain.* 2006;7(12):892–900.
10. Nathan DM, Genuth S, Lachin J, Cleary P, Crofford O, Davis M. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329(14):977–86.
11. Albers JW, Herman WH, Pop-Busui R, Feldman EL, Martin CL, Cleary PA. 2010.
12. Tesfaye S, Chaturvedi N, Eaton SE, Ward JD, Manes C, Tirgoviste CI. Vascular risk factors and diabetic neuropathy. *N Engl J Med.*

- 2005;352(4):341–50.
13. Hamman R, Dabelea D, Agostino D, Imperatore G, Dolan L. The SEARCH for diabetes in youth study: rationale, findings, and future directions. *Diab Care*. 2014;37(12):3336–80.
 14. Jaiswal M, Divers J, Dabelea D, Isom S, Bell RA, Martin CL. Prevalence of and risk factors for diabetic peripheral neuropathy in youth with type 1 and type 2 diabetes: SEARCH for diabetes in youth study. *Diab Care*. 2017;40(9):1226–58.
 15. Ziegler D. Painful diabetic neuropathy: treatment and future aspects. *Diab Metab Res Rev*. 2008;24(1):52–9.
 16. Schmaier KE. Epidemiology and impact on quality of life of postherpetic neuralgia and painful diabetic neuropathy. *Clin J Pain*. 2002;18(6):350–4.
 17. Torrance N, Elliott AM, Lee AJ, Smith BH. Severe chronic pain is associated with increased 10 year mortality. A cohort record linkage study. *Eur J Pain*. 2010;14(4):380–6.
 18. Daousi C, Macfarlane IA, Woodward A, Nurmiikko TJ, Bundred PE, Benbow SJ. Chronic painful peripheral neuropathy in an urban community: a controlled comparison of people. *Diab Med*. 2004;21(9):976–82.
 19. Bennett MI, Simpson KH. Gabapentin in the treatment of neuropathic pain. *Palliat Med*. 2004;18(1):5–11.
 20. Backonja M, Glanzman RL. Gabapentin dosing for neuropathic pain: evidence from randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials. *Clin Ther*. 2003;25(1):81–104.
 21. Sansone RA, Sansone LA. Serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors: a pharmacological comparison. *Innov Clin Neurosci*. 2014;11(3-4):37–42.
 22. Hunziker ME, Suehs BT, Bettinger TL, Crismon ML. Duloxetine hydrochloride: a new dual-acting medication for the treatment of major depressive disorder. *Clin Ther*. 2005;27(8):1126–69.
 23. Marks DM, Shah MJ, Patkar AA, Masand PS, Park GY, Pae CU. Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors for pain control: promise and promise. *Curr Neuropharmacol*. 2009;7(4):331–7.
 24. Gore M, Zlateva G, Tai K, Chandran A, Leslie D. Retrospective evaluation of clinical characteristics, pharmacotherapy and healthcare resource use among patients prescribed pregabalin or duloxetine for diabetic peripheral neuropathy in usual care. *Pain Med*. 2011;11(2):167–79.
 25. Tanenberg RJ, Irving GA, Risser RC, Ahl J, Robinson MJ, Skljarevski V. Duloxetine, pregabalin, and duloxetine plus gabapentin for diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain management in patients with inadequate pain response to gabapentin: and open-label, randomized, noninferiority comparison. *Mayo Clin Proceedings*. 2011;86(7):615–24.
 26. Roehrs T, Roth T. Sleep and pain: Interaction of two vital functions. *Semin Neurol*. 2005;25(1):106–22.
 27. Moldofsky H. Sleep and pain. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2001;5(5):385–96.
 28. Childs JD, Piva SR, Fritz JM. Responsiveness of the numeric pain rating scale in patients with low back pain. *Spine*. 2005;30(11):1331–5.
 29. Jensen MP, McFarland CA. Increasing the reliability and validity of pain intensity measurement in chronic pain patients. *Pain*. 1993;55(2):195–203.
 30. Hays RD, Stewart A, Stewart AL, Ware J. Duke University Press.
 31. Leucht S, Kane JM, Kissling W. Clinical implications of brief psychiatric rating scale scores. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2005;187(4):366–71.
 32. Leucht S, Engel RR. The relative sensitivity of the Clinical Global Impressions Scale in the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale in antipsychotic drug trials. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2006;31:406–18.
 33. Quilici S, Chancellor J, Lothgren M, Simon D, Sad G, Le K. Meta-analysis of duloxetine vs. pregabalin and gabapentin in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. *BioMed Cent Neurol*. 2009;9:6. doi:10.1186/1471-2377-9-6.
 34. Amthor KF, Jorgensen KD, Berg TJ. The effect of 8 years of strict glycaemic control on peripheral nerve function in IDDM patients: the Oslo Study. *Diabetologia*. 1994;37(6):579–84.
 35. Argoff CE, Backonja MM, Belgrade MJ. Consensus guidelines: treatment planning and options. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2006;81(4):61475–9.
 36. Devi P, Madhu K, Ganapathy B, Sarma G, John L, Kulkarni C. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of gabapentin, duloxetine, and pregabalin in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Indian J Pharmacol*. 2012;44(1):51–6.
 37. Enomoto H, Yasuda H, Nishiyori A, Fujikoshi S, Furukawa M, Ishida M. Duloxetine in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain in Japan: a randomized, doubleblind, noninferiority comparative study with pregabalin. *J Pain Res*. 2018;11:1857–68. doi:10.2147/JPR.S170646.
 38. Goldstein DJ, Lu Y, Detke MJ, Lee TC, Iyengar S. Duloxetine vs. placebo in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy. *Pain*. 2005;2:109–18.
 39. Baron R, Brunnmuller U, Brassler M, May M, Binder A. Efficacy and safety of pregabalin in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy or postherpetic neuralgia: open-label, non-comparative, flexible-dose study. *European J Pain*. 2008;12(7):850–8.
 40. Backonja M, Beydoun A, Edwards KR, Schwartz SL, Fonseca V, Hes M. Gabapentin for the symptomatic treatment of painful neuropathy in patients with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1998;280(21):1831–7.
 41. Ko YC, Lee CH, Wu CS, Huang YJ. Comparison of efficacy and safety of gabapentin and duloxetine in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021;75(11):14576. doi:10.1111/ijcp.14576.
 42. Majdinasab N, Kaveyani H, Azizi M. A comparative double-blind randomized study on the effectiveness of Duloxetine and Gabapentin on painful diabetic peripheral polyneuropathy. *Drug Design. Develop Ther*. 2019;13:1985–92. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S185995.
 43. Brunton S, Wang F, Edwards SB, Crucitti AS, Ossanna MJ, Walker DJ. Profile of adverse events with duloxetine treatment: a pooled analysis of placebo-controlled studies. *Drug Saf*. 2010;33(5):393–407.

Author biography

Tamilsetti Vidya Sagar, Associate Professor

Byndoor Yatish, Associate Professor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7553-079X>

Cite this article: Sagar TV, Yatish B. Safety and efficacy of duloxetine versus gabapentin in painful diabetic polyneuropathy. *IP Int J Comprehensive Adv Pharmacol* 2022;7(4):223–227.